

+ Evidence in focus

PICO^{\$} sNPWT clinical compendium

PICO[♦] Single Use Negative Pressure Wound Therapy System

September 2023

Smith
Nephew



Abbreviations

ABPI	Ankle-brachial pressure index
ASA	American Society of Anaesthesiologists
ASEPSIS	A quantitative scoring system used to identify and classify SSIs
BIMA	Bilateral internal mammary artery
BHIS	Brompton and Harefield Infection Score
BMI	Body mass index
CABG	Coronary artery bypass graft
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
DFU	Diabetic foot ulcer
DSWI	Deep sternal wound infection
FEA	Finite element analysis
ITT	Intention-to-treat
LoS	Length of stay

LS	Least squares
MTG	Medical technologies guidance
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
NNT	Number needed to treat
NPWT	Negative pressure wound therapy
OR	Odds ratio
POSAS	Patient Observer Scar Assessment Scale
PP	Per-protocol
PU	Pressure ulcer
QALY	Quality adjusted life years
RCT	Randomised controlled trial

- RRR Relative risk reduction
- **sNPWT** Single use negative pressure wound therapy

	SSC	Surgical site complication
	SSI	Surgical site infection
9	SWD	Surgical wound dehiscence
	TAA	Total ankle arthroplasty
	TEWL	Transepidermal water loss
	TKA	Total knee arthroplasty
	tNPWT	Traditional negative pressure wound therapy
	VAS	Visual analogue scale
	VLU	Venous leg ulcer
	WUWHS	World Union of Wound Healing Societies

Introduction

PICO^o Single Use Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (sNPWT) has a strong evidence base.

To date, 316^{*} clinical publications (peer-reviewed manuscripts and conference abstracts) regarding PICO sNPWT have been identified (166 unique studies). This evidence compendium contains summaries of the most relevant publications; it does not include all the publications due to the volume of studies.





PICO^o sNPWT indication¹

PICO sNPWT is indicated for patients who would benefit from a suction device (NPWT) as it may promote wound healing via removal of low to moderate levels of exudate and infectious materials.

Appropriate wound types include:

- Closed surgical incisions
- Chronic
- Acute
- Traumatic
- Subacute and dehisced wounds
- Partial-thickness burns
- Ulcers (such as diabetic or pressure)
- Flaps and grafts

PICO sNPWT systems are suitable for use both in a hospital and homecare setting.





Consensus document/guidelines about prophylactic NPWT and PICO⁺ sNPWT for closed surgical incisions

World Health Organisation

The World Health Organisation recommends the use of prophylactic NPWT "in adult patients on primarily closed surgical incisions in high-risk wounds, for the purpose of the prevention of SSI, while taking resources into account."¹⁰

World Union of Wound Healing Societies

WUWHS proposes NPWT is used in patients with closed surgical incisions who have intrinsic risk factors for SSCs or who have had a surgical procedure associated with higher incidence and/or higher consequence of SSCs.¹¹

The 2019 WUWHS Consensus Document on Wound Exudate: effective assessment and management, recognises the benefits of sNPWT in the management of closed surgical incisions:¹²

- Provides a barrier to external contamination^{11,12}
- Removes excess wound exudate¹²
- May aid healing by:^{11,12}
 - Reducing lateral tension across the closed incision
 - Improving lymphatic drainage
 - Reducing the risk of wound infection and separation (dehiscence)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)

NICE Medical technologies guidance: PICO negative pressure wound dressings for closed surgical incisions (MTG43).

NICE aims to improve health and social care in England through evidence-based guidance. NICE guidance helps people make efficient, cost-effective and consistent decisions about adopting new medical technologies. NICE guidance is internationally recognised.

NICE recommends that PICO sNPWT should be considered as an option for closed surgical incisions in patients who are at high risk of SSIs.¹³

In a review of data from 31 clinical studies (15 randomised controlled trials and 16 non-randomised comparative observational studies), NICE concluded that PICO sNPWT is associated with fewer SSIs and seromas compared with standard wound dressings. Cost modelling suggests that compared with standard wound dressings, PICO sNPWT provides extra clinical benefits at a similar overall cost with standard wound dressings.⁵

510k clearance

PICO sNPWT is the first system indicated in the US to aid in reducing the incidence of both deep and superficial incisional SSIs as well as post-operative seroma and dehiscence for high risk patients in Class I and Class II wounds.¹⁴

Introduction



 PICO^\diamond sNPWT can be applied to a closed post-surgical incision to help to reduce the risk of SSCs

PICO sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of different outcomes when data across several surgical specialties are pooled:



MULTIDISCIPLINE	
Systematic review and meta- analysis across several surgical specialties	Saunders C, et al. (2021)
Health economic analysis of published meta-analysis across several surgical specialties	Nherera L, et al. (2021)
	Click on the author

to navigate to study



Single-use negative-pressure wound therapy versus conventional dressings for closed surgical incisions: systematic literature review and meta-analysis

Saunders C, Nherera LM, Horner A, Trueman P. BJS Open. 2021;5(1):1–8.

Overview

- Systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs and observational studies with ≥10 surgical patients to assess the effect of prophylactic PICO^o sNPWT on the incidence of SSCs compared with standard care
- Articles published January 2011 to August 2018 identified from Embase, PubMed, the Cochrane Library, and other sources
 - Final analysis included 29 studies (5,614 patients)

Results

- PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the odds of SSIs by 63% versus standard care (Figure; p<0.001)
 - Significant reductions were achieved across several surgical specialties: breast (p=0.04), obstetric (p=0.003), orthopaedic (p=0.02) and vascular (p=0.03) surgery
- PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the risk of necrosis (p<0.001), seroma (p<0.001) and dehiscence (p=0.01), compared with standard care (Figure)
- Results for other SSCs (haematoma, abnormal scarring, delayed healing) were similar in both groups
- Mean LoS was 1.75 days shorter with PICO sNPWT than with standard care (p<0.001)
 - Rates of re-admission and re-operations were similar in both groups

Conclusions

Compared with standard care, PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the odds of SSIs, necrosis, seroma and dehiscence in patients with closed surgical incisions, and reduced hospital LoS.



PICO sNPWT clinical compendium 2023 8

2. Nherera L, et al.



Single-use negative pressure wound therapy reduces costs in closed surgical incisions: UK and US economic evaluation

Nherera LM, Saunders C, Verma S, Trueman P, Fatoye F. J Wound Care. 2021;30(Sup5):S23–S31.

Overview

- Cost effectiveness evaluation of PICO^o sNPWT versus standard care to prevent a complication (SSIs or dehiscence) in patients with closed surgical incisions
- Analysis of data from Saunders C, et al. 2020 in 1,000 patients over 12 weeks

Results

- Use of PICO sNPWT improved health outcomes and was considered to be less costly for all types of surgery combined versus standard care
 - £105 per patient cost saving from a UK payer perspective
 - \$637 per patient cost saving from a US payer perspective
- Greatest savings observed in high-risk patients (diabetes, BMI ≥30kg/m², ASA ≥3)

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT was less costly and resulted in improved health outcomes compared with standard care for combined surgical specialties.





Introduction



Global SSI rates following abdominal surgery are consistently high throughout the world forcing patients to stay in hospital longer¹⁰

PICO^o sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of different outcomes in a range of abdominal and general surgery procedures

UK ¹⁵	Italy ¹⁶	USA ^{17,18}	Japan ¹⁹	Spain ²⁰
27.6%	8.6-18.9%	11.0-26.0%	6.0–19.4%	27.6%
	₽			
		substantially i		
LoS by 14 days following colorectal surgery ¹⁵				
		ar serger y		

ABDOMINAL/GENERAL	
Colon cancer resection	Kacmaz HY, et al. (2022)
Laparotomy	O'Leary DP, et al. (2017)
Hernia repair	Bueno-Lledó J, et al. (2021)
Colorectal surgery	Abadía P, et al. (2021)
Stoma reversal	Carrano FM, et al. (2021)
	Obeid N, et al. (2021)
Crohn's disease	Selvaggi F, et al. (2014)
Whipple procedure	Gupta R, et al. (2017)





Effect of prophylactic negative pressure wound therapy for high-risk wounds in colorectal cancer surgery: a randomised controlled trial

Kacmaz HY, Baser M, Sozuer EM. Adv Skin Wound Care. 2022;35(11):597-603.

Overview

- A single-centre, prospective RCT comparing incidence of SSC in high-risk patients with colrectal cancer treated with:
 - PICO[◊] sNPWT (n=24)
 - Standard gauze dressings (n=26)

Results

- Patients treated with PICO sNPWT, compared with standard care developed fewer SSCs (16.7 vs 53.8%; p=0.006) including:
- Seromas (8.3 vs 34.6%; p=0.025)
- SSIs (8.3 vs 30.8%; p=0.048)

Conclusions

Prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT reduced the incidence of SSC (including SSI and seroma) in at-risk patients after open surgery for colorectal cancer compared with standard dressings.



Figure. Incidence of SSC in patients undergoing high-risk colorectal cancer surgery

2. O'Leary DP, et al.



Prophylactic negative pressure dressing use in closed laparotomy wounds following abdominal operations. A randomised controlled open-label trial: The P.I.C.O. Trial

O'Leary DP, Peirce C, Anglim B, et al. Ann Surg. 2017;265(6):1082–1086.

Overview

- A single-centre, randomised controlled trial comparing SSI incidence with prophylactic use of PICO^o sNPWT and standard dressings in patients undergoing laparotomy surgery
 - PICO sNPWT (n=24); worn for four days post-operatively
 - Standard dressings (n=25)

Results

- SSI incidence was significantly reduced with PICO sNPWT compared with standard dressings 30 days postoperatively (74% relative reduction; p=0.043; Figure)
- SSI incidence on Day 4 was lower with PICO sNPWT (4.1 vs 8.0%; p=0.516)
- Mean LoS was significantly shorter with PICO sNPWT compared with standard dressings (6.1 vs 14.7 days, p=0.019; Figure)
- Cosmetic outcome and patient satisfaction were similar
 in both groups

Conclusions

Prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT in patients undergoing laparotomy surgery significantly reduced the incidence of SSIs and mean LoS compared with standard dressings.



Figure. SSI incidence 30 days postoperatively and mean LoS with PICO ${\sf sNPWT}$ and standard dressings





Prophylactic single-use negative pressure dressing in closed surgical wounds after incisional hernia repair

Bueno-Lledó J, Franco-Bernal A, Garcia-Voz-Mediano MT, Torregrosa-Gallud A, Bonafé S. Ann Surg. 2021;273(6):1081–1086.

Overview

- Independent, single-centre RCT to compare the incidence of SSCs in midline elective incisional hernia repair via laparotomy
- PICO^o sNPWT (n=72)
- Standard dressings (n=74)

Results

- PICO sNPWT resulted in a 44% significant relative reduction in SSC incidence versus standard dressings (16.6 vs 29.8%; p=0.042)
- There were no incidences of SSIs in the PICO sNPWT group compared with six cases with standard dressings (p=0.002)
- No significant differences were observed in dehiscence, seroma or hospital LoS (p=ns)

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the incidences of SSCs and SSIs compared with standard dressings in patients undergoing elective midline incisional hernia repair via laparotomy 30 days post surgery.

4. Abadía P, et al.

Prophylactic use of negative pressure wound therapy reduces surgical site infections in elective colorectal surgery: A prospective cohort study

Abadía P, Ocaña J, Ramos D, et al. Surg Infect. 2021;22(2):234–239.

Overview

- Independent, prospective, non-randomised, single-centre cohort study in patients undergoing elective open and laparoscopic open-assisted colorectal surgery
- Patients were treated for 7 days and followed up weekly after discharge for up to 30 days post surgery
- PICO sNPWT (n=100)
- Surgical dressings (n=100)

Results

- Significant 53% relative reduction in incidence of SSIs with PICO sNPWT versus surgical dressings (9 vs 19%; p=0.02)
- Length of hospital stay:
- Similar with PICO sNPWT versus surgical dressings (p=ns)
- 4.5 days longer in patients with SSIs versus those without (16.2 vs 11.7 days; p<0.01)

Conclusions

In patients undergoing elective colorectal surgery, PICO sNPWT helped reduce the incidence of SSIs compared with surgical dressings.



Negative-pressure wound therapy after stoma reversal in colorectal surgery: a randomised controlled trial

Carrano FM, Maroli A, Carvello M, et al. BJS Open, 2021 Nov 9;5(6):zrab116.

Overview

- Retrospective analysis of patients undergoing stoma closure surgery. A single-centre, RCT comparing incidence of SSCs in patients undergoing stoma reversal
- Skin closure was achieved via the purse string suture method. Residual wounds were covered with either:
- PICO[◊] sNPWT (n=49)
- Standard dressings (n=48)
- Patients were treated for 7 days and outcomes monitored up to 30 days post-surgery

Results

- Compared with standard dressings, use of PICO sNPWT was associated with:
- Less pain after 3 days (VAS mean 1.06 vs 2.91; p<0.0002)^ \dagger
- Higher aesthetic satisfaction (9 vs 8; p<0.0001)
- Higher proportion of wounds healed after 30 days (92 vs 78%, p=0.081)
- Slightly lower complication rate although this was not statistically significant (10 vs 16%; p=0.542)

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT reduced wound pain and improved aesthetic satisfaction compared with standard dressings. A lower rate of post surgical complications, including SSI was observed with PICO sNPWT compared with standard dressings, but this was not significantly different.

Use of PICO sNPWT helped to overcome the main challenges observed during stoma reversal, namely delayed healing and patient dissatisfaction



Negative pressure therapy for stoma closure sites – a non randomised case control study

Obeid N, Sharma E, Dunstan M, et al. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2021;36:161–167.

Overview

- Retrospective analysis of patients undergoing stoma closure surgery
- Wounds covered with a PICO $^{\circ}$ sNPWT dressing (n=17)
- Wounds packed with non-absorbable dressings (n=15)

Results

With PICO sNPWT versus standard care:

- 10 fewer community dressing clinic visits (1.9 vs 11.9 visits)
- More patients were able to return to work within 2 weeks of surgery (100 vs 66%)
- Shorter mean hospital LoS (5 vs 6 days)

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT applied following stoma closure surgery helped to reduce the number of community dressing clinic visits and hospital LoS compared with traditional wound packing. PICO sNPWT also enabled all patients to resume work/daily activities within 2 weeks.



New advances in negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) for surgical wounds of patients affected with Crohn's disease

Selvaggi F, Pellino G, Sciaudone G, et al. Surg Technol Int. 2014;24:83–89.

Overview

- Prospective, open-label, controlled study to compare PICO sNPWT with conventional gauze dressings in patients undergoing elective surgery for stricturing Crohn's disease
- PICO sNPWT (n=25)
- Conventional dressings (n=25)
- Several patients (38%) were taking ≥20mg of corticosteroids at baseline, which increase the risk of developing SSIs

Results

- Compared with conventional dressings, PICO sNPWT
 helped to reduce:
- The incidence of SSIs by 83% (8 vs 48%; p=0.004) overall and by 89% in patients receiving corticosteroids at baseline (8 vs 75%; p=0.001)
- LoS (7 vs 12 days; p=0.0001)
- Seroma by 82% (8 vs 44%; p=0.008)
- Early readmission rate* by 100% (0 vs 24%; p=0.02)

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT helped to reduce the incidence of SSIs and seroma resulting in shorter LoS compared with conventional dressings in patients undergoing surgery for stricturing Crohn's disease.

*Repeat hospitalisation within 6 months from discharge for wound-related complications



Efficacy of negative pressure wound treatment in preventing surgical site infections after Whipple procedures

Gupta R, Darby GC, Imagawa DK. Am Surg. 2017;83(10):1166–1169.

Overview

- Retrospective study evaluating the incidence of SSIs in patients following pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple procedure), performed by one surgeon at a single centre in the USA
- PICO[◊] sNPWT (n=25)
- Traditional dressings (n=36)

Results

- PICO sNPWT resulted in a 71% relative reduction in SSIs versus traditional dressings (12 vs 41%; p=0.01; NNT: 3.4)
- Pancreatic fistulas were less frequent with PICO sNPWT than with traditional dressings
- All grades: RRR, 53% (8 vs 17%; p=0.33)
- Grade B: RRR, 27% (8 vs 11%; p=0.69)
- PICO sNPWT reduced the incidence of deep SSIs by 6x compared with traditional dressings (4 vs 25%)

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the number of SSIs in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy compared with traditional dressings.

by 6

PICO sNPWT reduced the incidence of **deep SSIs** by **6x** compared with traditional dressings (4 vs 25%)

Introduction



PICO[°] sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of different outcomes in a range of breast and plastic surgery procedures

BREAST AND PLASTIC SURGERY	
Reduction mammoplasty	Galiano RD, et al. (2018)
	Tanaydin V, et al. (2018)
Pre-pectoral breast reconstruction	Irwin GW, et al. (2020)
	Murphy JA, et al. (2021)
	Ryu JY, et al. (2021)



1. Galiano RD, et al.



Incisional negative pressure wound therapy for prevention of wound healing complications following reduction mammaplasty

Galiano RD, Hudson D, Shin J, et al. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2018;6(1):e1560.

Overview

- Prospective, within-patient, randomised controlled, open-label, multicentre study assessing the prevalence and type of healing complications in patients who had elective bilateral reduction mammaplasty
- Patients were randomised within-patient (i.e. to right or left breast) to be treated for up to 14 days
- PICO[◊] sNPWT (n=200)
- Standard care (n=200)

Results

- PICO sNPWT significantly reduced incidence of wound healing complications within 21 days post-operatively compared with standard care (56.8 vs 61.8%; p=0.004)
- Incidence of dehiscence within 21 days of surgery was significantly reduced with PICO sNPWT versus standard care (16.2 vs 26.4%; p<0.001)
- This effect was greatest in patients with BMI >25kg/m²

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT helped to reduce wound healing complications, particularly the incidence of wound dehiscence, when applied prophylactically to closed incision reduction mammaplasty surgical wounds compared with standard care. This effect on the incidence of dehiscence was greatest in patients with BMI >25kg/m².

2. Tanaydin V, et al.

Randomised controlled study comparing disposable negative-pressure wound therapy with standard care in bilateral breast reduction mammoplasty evaluating surgical site complications and scar quality

Tanaydin V, Beugels J, Andriessen A, Sawor JH, van der Hulst RRWJ. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2018;42(4):927–935.

Overview

- A single-centre, prospective, RCT of patients undergoing bilateral breast reduction mammaplasty who received PICO sNPWT or standard care (fixation strips) on either the left or right breast
- PICO sNPWT (n=32)
- Standard care (n=32)

Results

- PICO sNPWT resulted in a significant 50% relative reduction in SSCs (incision not completely closed at 7 days, dehiscence or infection) compared with standard care (15.6 vs 31.3%; p<0.004)
- Scar quality (POSAS and VAS scores) was significantly better with PICO sNPWT versus standard care at 42 and 90 days (p<0.05)

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT use significantly reduced the number of SSCs, including dehiscence, and significantly improved the quality of scarring compared with standard care in patients undergoing mammaplasty surgery.

3. Irwin GW, et al.



Negative pressure wound therapy reduces wound breakdown and implant loss in prepectoral breast reconstruction

Irwin GW, Boundouki G, Fakim B, et al. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2020;8:e2667.

Overview

- A prospective cohort study conducted at a single UK centre (N=196) to evaluate wound breakdown and implant loss with use of PICO^o sNPWT compared with standard dressings in patients undergoing skinsparing or -reducing mastectomy with immediate prepectoral implant reconstruction
 - PICO sNPWT (126 breasts)
 - Standard dressings (181 breasts)

Results

- Wound breakdown was less frequent with PICO sNPWT than standard dressings (0.8 vs 5.5%; p=0.01; Figure)
- No implants were lost in the PICO sNPWT cohort; 7 were lost in the standard dressings cohort (p<0.05; Figure)
- Estimated cost savings per patient were £426 from using PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings
- Allowing for reconstruction failure and PICO sNPWT costs, mean cost per patient was £147.60 for the PICO sNPWT cohort and £573.14 for the standard dressings cohort

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce wound breakdown, which resulted in fewer implant losses, compared with standard dressings in patients undergoing mastectomy and was estimated to provide cost savings.



Figure. Incidence of wound breakdown and implant loss in the PICO sNPWT and standard dressings cohorts

4. Murphy JA, et al.



Murphy JA, Myers D, Trueman P, Searle R. BJS Open. 2021;5(2):zraa042.

Overview

- A cost-effectiveness evaluation of PICO° sNPWT versus standard care for prevention of wound breakdown leading to reconstruction failure following prepectoral breast reconstruction in the UK
- Data from Irwin GW, et al. 2020 was included and analysed over a 48 month time horizon

Cost-effectiveness of single-use negative-pressure therapy compared with standard care for prevention of reconstruction failure in prepectoral breast reconstruction

Results

- £1,706 estimated mean per patient cost saving with PICO sNPWT versus standard care (£230 vs £1,937)
- Use of PICO sNPWT was estimated to reduce reconstruction failure rate resulting from wound breakdown compared with standard care (0 vs 8.3%)

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT was estimated to be cost saving and helped to decrease reconstruction failures resulting from wound breakdown when compared with standard care in patients undergoing prepectoral breast reconstruction.

£1,706 estimated cost savings per patient

Reduced incidence of reconstruction failure due to wound breakdown with PICO sNPWT versus standard care (0 vs 8.3%)



Usefulness of incisional negative pressure wound therapy for decreasing wound complication rates and seroma formation following prepectoral breast reconstruction

Ryu JY, Lee JH, Kim JS, et al. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2022;46(2):633–641.

Overview

- Retrospective, single-centre cohort study in patients
 undergoing prepectoral breast reconstruction
- PICO[◊] sNPWT (n=37)
- Standard dressings (foam dressing plus ointment; n=23)

Results

With PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings, there were significant reductions in:

- SSC incidence (7 vs 12; p=0.007)
- Major seroma incidence (6 vs 10; p=0.02)
- Mean duration of seroma (21.9 vs 61.7 days; p=0.018)
- Mean volume of seroma (53.9 vs 189.7cm³; p=0.019)
- Patients requiring an unplanned re-operation (1 vs 6; p=0.01)

Conclusions

When used immediately after prepectoral breast reconstruction, PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the incidences of SSCs and major seroma, and fewer patients required re-operation, compared with standard dressings.





PICO^o sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of different outcomes in a range of cardiothoracic surgery procedures

CARDIOTHORACIC SURGERY	
Cardiac surgery	Tabley A, et al. (2020)
	Battaglia S, et al. (2018)
Post-CABG sternotomy	Witt-Majchrzak A, et al. (2014)
	Nherera LM, et al. (2018)
	Click on the author to navigate to study

1. Tabley A, et al.



A survey of cardiac surgery infections with PICO^o Negative Pressure Therapy in high-risk patients: survey of surgical site complications

Tabley A, Aludaat C, Le Guillou V, et al. Ann Thorαc Surg. 2020;110(6):2034-2040.

Overview

- Review of anonymised records before and after introduction of PICO sNPWT for high-risk patients (≥2 SSI risk factors) undergoing cardiac surgery at a hospital in France to determine the effect on SSCs
- PICO sNPWT (n=142)
- Standard care (n=91)
- More patients in the PICO group had BMI ≥35kg/m² or underwent BIMA (p<0.05 for both)

Results

Compared with standard care, prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT resulted in:

- A significant reduction in the incidence of SSCs (6.3 vs 17.6%; p=0.009; Figure)
- Particularly those with diabetes, BMI ≥35kg/m² or who had BIMA surgery (p<0.05 for all)
- Fewer patients with resultant deep sternal wound infection (3.5 vs 11.0%; p=0.029; Figure)
- An estimated saving of €1,295 per patient, releasing capacity to treat 10 extra patients

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT in high-risk cardiac surgery patients significantly reduced the incidence of SSCs compared with standard care; it also reduced costs by an estimated €1,295 per patient. The authors suggest that the reduction in deep sternal wound infection incidence with PICO sNPWT may be due to preventing spread of superficial infectious material.



Figure. Incidence of SSCs with PICO sNPWT and standard care, as well as cost reductions and effect of SSCs on length of hospital stay

2. Battaglia S, et al.

Implementation of the Brompton and Harefield Infection Score (BHIS) and PICO[°] Single-Use Negative Pressure WoundTherapy (sNPWT) pathway at the Bristol Heart Institute

Battaglia S, et al. Poster presented at the Wounds UK Annual Conference, 5–7 November 2018; Harrogate, UK.

Overview

- A quality improvement audit conducted at a major UK cardiac centre to compare standard dressings with PICO sNPWT in medium and high-risk patients undergoing CABG and non-CABG procedures
- A new pathway was introduced using the BHIS to decide which patients to treat with PICO sNPWT instead of standard post-operative dressings

Results

Compared with baseline, utilising this pathway resulted in:

- 50% reduction in the incidence of SSIs in 148 CABG patients (17.6 vs 8.8%)
- A slight increase in SSI incidence in 153 non-CABG patients (3.1 vs 5.2%)
- 31% reduction in estimated total cost of SSIs versus baseline (£83,271)

Conclusions

Accurate identification of appropriate patients, based upon a pre-operative risk assessment, can drive best practice with PICO sNPWT, help to reduce the incidence of SSIs and reduce costs.

3. Witt-Majchrzak A, et al.



Preliminary outcome of treatment of postoperative primarily closed sternotomy wounds treated using negative pressure wound therapy

Witt-Majchrzak A, Żelazny P, Snarska J. Pol Przegl Chir. 2014;86(10):456-465.

Overview

- Prospective, open-label study (6-week follow up) to evaluate wound healing in patients treated with PICO^o sNPWT or conventional dressings immediately after a CABG procedure
 - PICO sNPWT (n=40)
 - Conventional dressings (n=40)

Results

- Compared with conventional dressings, PICO sNPWT resulted in:
- 70% relative reduction in incidences of SSCs
 (7.5 vs 25.0%; p=0.034; Figure) and 86% relative reduction in superficial SSIs (2.5 vs 17.5%; p=0.025; Figure)
- No cases of skin necrosis versus 12 cases with conventional dressings (p=0.0002)

Conclusions

Prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT significantly reduced the incidences of SSCs and superficial SSIs compared with conventional dressings in patients with closed sternotomy wounds.



4. Nherera LM, et al.



Cost-effectiveness analysis of single use negative pressure wound therapy dressings (sNPWT) compared to standard of care in reducing surgical site complications (SSC) in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery

Nherera LM, Trueman P, Schmoeckel M, Fatoye FA. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2018;13:103.

Overview

 A cost-effectiveness evaluation of PICO^o sNPWT and standard care in reducing the incidence of SSCs (superficial and deep infections or dehiscence) in sternotomy wounds in patients undergoing CABG surgery (Germany Insurance payer perspective)

Results

Compared with standard care, PICO sNPWT was estimated to:

- Reduce total mean treatment costs per patient (€20,572 vs €19,986) with a cost-saving of €586
- Avoid more wound-related complications (0.989 vs 0.952) and provide more QALYs (0.8904 vs 0.8593)
- Provide greater savings in high-risk patients (BMI ≥30kg/m², patients with diabetes and smokers)

Conclusions

Prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT was estimated to be less costly and more effective than standard care for sternotomy wounds in patients undergoing CABG when analysed from a German payer perspective; the magnitude of savings increased in high-risk patients.

Introduction



PICO^{*} sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of different outcomes following vascular surgery procedures

VASCULAR SURGERY	
Cardiac surgery	Hasselmann J, et al. (2020)
	Svensson-Bjork R, et al. (2020)
	Kostiuk V, et al. (2022)
	Wikkeling M, et al. (2021)
	Fleming CA, et al. (2018)
	Click on the author to navigate to study





Inguinal vascular surgical wound protection by incisional negative pressure wound therapy. A randomised controlled trial – INVIPS trial

Hasselmann J, Björk J, Svensson-Björk R, Acosta S. Ann Surg. 2020;271(1):48–53.

Overview

- Single-centre, open-label, RCT comparing the effect of prophylactic PICO^o sNPWT and standard dressings on the risk of SSIs following groin surgery in patients with both unilateral and bilateral incisions
 - PICO sNPWT (59 unilateral; 19 bilateral)
 - Standard dressings (61 unilateral; 19 bilateral)

Results

- At 90 days follow up, SSI incidences were lower with PICO sNPWT than with standard dressings by ASEPSIS criteria for both unilateral and bilateral incisions (Figure)
- SSI incidences were also lower with PICO sNPWT than with standard dressings by CDC criteria, in both the unilateral (11.9 vs 27.9%; p=0.039) and bilateral (5.3 vs 26.3%; p=0.125) groups
- After pooling unilateral and bilateral results, SSI incidences were significantly lower for PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings by both ASEPSIS (10.3 vs 28.8%; p=0.02; Figure) and CDC (10.3 vs 27.5%; p=0.03) criteria
- No differences in other surgical site complications were noted between groups

Conclusions

Prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT significantly reduced the incidence of SSIs in patients undergoing groin surgery compared with standard dressings when assessed using ASEPSIS and CDC criteria.



2. Svensson-Björk R, et al.



Cost-effectiveness analysis of negative pressure wound therapy dressings after open inguinal vascular surgery – The randomised INVIPS-Trial

Svensson-Björk R, Saha S, Acosta S, et al. J Tissue Viability. 2021;30(1):95–101.

Overview

- Cost-effectiveness evaluation of PICO^o sNPWT versus standard dressings in reducing the incidence of SSIs in patients undergoing inguinal vascular surgery (healthcare payers perspective)
- Analysis of data from Hasselman J, et al. 2020
 - PICO sNPWT (n=59)
 - Standard dressings (n=60)

Results

- Estimated mean procedure-related costs at 90 days were similar with PICO sNPWT compared with standard dressings (€16,621 vs €16,285; p=ns)
- PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the incidence of SSIs by 60% compared with standard dressings (11.9 vs 30.0%; p=0.015)
- When all costs were considered, PICO sNPWT was determined to be cost effective for reducing SSI incidence

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT after open inguinal vascular surgery was considered to be cost effective compared with standard dressings due to reduced SSI incidence with similar procedure-related costs.

60% relative reduction in SSI incidence with PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings (p=0.015)





The use of negative pressure wound therapy after arterial vascular reconstructions

Kostiuk V, Setia O, Cardella J, et al. J. Vasc. Surg. 2022;76(3):e26.

Overview

- Retrospective single-centre comparative study of outcomes following femoral artery dissections treated with:
 - PICO[◊] sNPWT (n=18)
 - Standard wound care (n=25)
- Baseline characteristics between groups were similar except that those in the PICO sNPWT group had a higher median BMI, potentially increasing their risk of complications

Results

- SSC (seroma, haematoma and/or skin dehiscence) was not observed in patients treated with PICO sNPWT but occurred in one-fifth of patients treated with standard wound care (0 vs 20%; p=0.04)
- No hardware failure was reported in either group

Conclusions

The PICO sNPWT dressing helped to decrease the incidence of minor wound complications in patients undergoing open vascular reconstructions in the inguinal region compared to standard wound care.

4. Wikkeling M, et al.



Wikkeling M, Mans J, Styche T, et al. J Wound Care. 2021;30(9):705–710.

Overview

- Retrospective, single-centre study comparing outcomes of patients undergoing femoral endarterectomy
- Wound-related outcomes were compared in patients before (n=64, standard care) and after (n=44) adoption of PICO^o sNPWT

Single use negative pressure wound therapy in vascular patients: clinical and economic outcomes

Results

- Patients treated with PICO sNPWT experienced:
 - Significantly lower rate of wound complications (18.2 vs 50.0%; p=0.0011), a 64% relative reduction
 - Lower postoperative care costs per patient (mean €2,630 vs €3,119), a reduction of 15.7%

Conclusions

Adoption of PICO sNPWT was associated with improved clinical and financial outcomes compared with standard care in the treatment of patients undergoing femoral endarterectomy.



5. Fleming CA, et al.



Routine use of PICO Dressings may reduce overall groin wound complication rates following peripheral vascular surgery

Fleming CA, Kuteva M, O'Hanlon K, O'Brien G, McGreal G. J Hosp Infect. 2018;99:75–80.

Overview

- Single-centre, retrospective analysis of wound complications that occurred up to six weeks postoperatively in patients who underwent peripheral vascular surgery of the lower limb
- PICO[◊] sNPWT (n=73)
- Standard dressings (n=78)

Results

- Compared with standard dressings, patients treated with PICO sNPWT had:
 - Significantly fewer wound complications (8.2 vs 19.2%; p=0.042)
- Substantially lower seroma incidence (1.4 vs 7.7%; p=0.069)
- Shorter mean hospital LoS for readmissions(3 patients, 2.83 days vs 6 patients, 5.67 days)
- Reduced mean time to resolution of wound complications (53 vs 96 days; p=0.015)
- Reduced estimated total cost of treatment (€34,718 vs €69,190)

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the incidence of groin wound complications in patients undergoing vascular surgery. Mean hospital LoS and time to resolution of wound complications were shorter with PICO sNPWT than with standard dressings for readmitted patients, which contributed to cost savings.

€34,472 lower estimated total cost of treatment with PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings (€34,718 vs €69,190)

Introduction



Over half (55%) of C-sections are carried out as emergency procedures $^{\rm 30}$



Emergency C-sections are associated with a high risk of post-operative complications, including wound-related complications³¹



27.5% of unscheduled sections have been reported to develop a wound-related



SSI can increase LoS by 2–7 days²⁶ PICO^{*} sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of different outcomes when applied prophylactically following C-section

OBSTETRICS AND GYNEACOLOGY

C-section	Goldman T, et al. (2023)
	Hyldig N, et al. (2018)
	Hyldig N, et al. (2019)
	Hyldig N, et al. (2020)
	Bullough L, et al. (2015)
	Click on the author to navigate to study

*Represents a composite of wound complications that included SSI, cellulitis, seroma, haematoma, and separation occurring within 30 days of C-section. SSI included superficial, deep and organ-space (endometritis).



A systematic review and meta-analysis of two negative pressure wound therapy devices to manage caesarean section incisions

Goldman T, Costa B. Am. J. Perinatology. 2023 Sep 19. [Epub ahead of print].

Overview

- A systematic literature review and meta-analysis of RCTs to explore the ability of sNPWT to reduce the odds of SSI in obese women undergoing C-section
- Two different sNPWT systems were evaluated separately:
- PICO[◊] sNPWT which operates at -80mmHg
- An alternative sNPWT system which operates at -125mmHg

Results

- Compared to standard of care PICO sNPWT significantly reduced overall SSI (OR 0.69 [0.54–0.89]; p=0.004) and superficial SSIs (OR 0.66 [0.50–0.86]; p=0.003) after C-section in obese patients
- This odds reduction was not observed with the -125mmHg sNPWT device either for overall SSI (OR 0.91 [0.64–1.28]; p=0.588) or for superficial SSI (OR1.12 [0.70–1.78]; p=0.643)

Conclusions

sNPWT devices may differ in their ability to reduce the odds of composite or superficial SSI after caesarean section.



Figure. Odds of developing SSI with standard care (set as reference), PICO sNPWT (n=1,689; 6 studies) and an alternative sNPWT device (n=1,231; 5 studies)

2. Hyldig N, et al.



Prophylactic incisional negative pressure wound therapy reduces the risk of surgical site infection after caesarean section in obese women: a pragmatic randomised clinical trial

Hyldig N, Vinter CA, Kruse M, et al. BJOG. 2018;126(5):628–635.

Overview

- An open-label, pragmatic, randomised study to assess PICO^o sNPWT compared with standard dressings in women undergoing elective or emergency caesarean section with a pre-pregnancy BMI ≥30kg/m²
- Dressings were left in place for approximately five days with PICO sNPWT and at least 24 hours with standard dressings
- PICO sNPWT (n=432)
- Standard dressings (n=444)

Results

- Use of PICO sNPWT significantly reduced the incidence of SSIs compared with standard dressings (p=0.007; Figure)
- NNT: 22
- Results were similar after adjustment for risk factors including pre-pregnancy BMI ≥35kg/m²
- Significantly fewer women experienced wound exudate as a complication with PICO sNPWT than those using standard dressings (22.4 vs 32.9%; p=0.001)
- RRR with PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings was 31.0%
- NNT: 10
- Deep SSIs, dehiscence and self-rated health status were similar in both groups



Figure. Incidences of SSIs with PICO sNPWT and standard dressings in obese women undergoing caesarean section

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce the incidences of SSIs and wound exudate compared with standard dressings in high-risk, obese women with prepregnancy BMI ≥30kg/m² undergoing caesarean section.

3. Hyldig N, et al.



Hyldig N, Joergensen JS, Wu C, et al. BJOG. 2019;126(5):619-627.

Overview

- Cost-effectiveness evaluation of using PICO^o sNPWT compared with standard dressings to help prevent SSIs in obese women after elective or emergency caesarean section (pre-pregnancy BMI ≥30kg/m²)
 - PICO sNPWT (n=432)
 - Standard dressings (n=444)
- Analysis of data from Hyldig N, et al. 2018

Cost-effectiveness of incisional negative pressure wound therapy compared with standard care after caesarean section in obese women: a trial-based economic evaluation

Results

- Estimated total healthcare costs per patient were similar with PICO sNPWT and standard dressings (€5,794 vs €5,841; p=0.81)
- PICO sNPWT was the dominant strategy as it was more effective than standard dressings at helping to reduce SSIs
- Estimated costs per patient with pre-pregnancy BMI ≥35kg/m² were lower with PICO sNPWT than with standard dressings

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT in obese women after caesarean section helped to reduce the incidence of SSIs versus standard dressings with similar estimated costs per patient for pre-pregnancy BMI ≥30kg/m² and estimated cost savings for pre-pregnancy BMI ≥35kg/m².


Clinical evaluation of scar quality following the use of prophylactic negative pressure wound therapy in obese women undergoing cesarean delivery: a trial-based scar evaluation

Hyldig N, Möller S, Joergensen JS, Bille C. Ann Plast Surg. 2020;85(6):e59–e65.

Overview

- Substudy of cosmetic outcomes for patients undergoing elective or emergency caesarean section with a pre-pregnancy BMI ≥30kg/m² who were involved in the study by Hyldig N, et al. 2018
- PICO sNPWT (n=105)
- Standard dressings (n=101)

Results

With PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings:

- The incidence of SSIs was reduced (4.7 vs 9.9%; 52.5% relative reduction)
- Significantly more patients were satisfied with overall scar appearance at 30 days (72.4 vs 53.1%; p=0.018) and 6 months (75.3 vs 58.2%; p=0.043)
- Results were similar at 12 months (75.0 vs 60.7%; p=0.170)
- Significantly fewer women had hatch marks at 6 months (20 vs 43%; p=0.002) and 12 months (19 vs 36%; p=0.037)

Conclusions

Obese women undergoing caesarean section were significantly more satisfied with the appearance of scars within 6 months of surgery using PICO sNPWT than using standard dressings and fewer patients had hatch marks at 6 and 12 months post surgery.



Reducing C-section wound complications

Bullough L, Burns S, Timmons J, Truman P, Megginson S. Clin Serv J. 2015;2–6.

Overview

- Thirty-month audit study (UK) reporting 2-year experience with PICO° sNPWT in high-risk patients (BMI >35kg/m²) post-caesarean compared with OPSITE° Post-Op Visible dressing in lower-risk patients (BMI <35kg/m²)
- PICO sNPWT (n=239)
- OPSITE Post-Op Visible dressing (n=1,405)

Results

- SSI rate:
 - Baseline: 12.0% (prior to audit study)
- PICO sNPWT: 0.4% (patient had gestational diabetes)
- OPSITE Post-Op Visible dressing: 3.6%
- No readmission for infection or wound dehiscence
- PICO sNPWT in high-risk patients was cost-effective

Conclusions

Inclusion of PICO sNPWT in the strategy for treatment of post-operative wounds following caesarean helped to reduce the incidene of SSIs resulting in cost savings.

Introduction



SSC can include:

- Oedema, haematoma and seroma
- Prolonged drainage



increase LoS by: **13.4** days in THA³³ **9.7** days in TKA³³ PICO[°] sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of different outcomes in a range of orthopaedic surgery procedures in high-risk patients

ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY		
Hip and knee arthroplasty	Karlakki SL, et al. (2016)	
	Nherera LM, et al. (2017)	
Knee arthroplasty	Song QS, et al. (2022)	
Ankle arthroplasty	Helito CP, et al. (2020)	
Hip fracture	Masters J, et al. (2021)	
Lower extremity fracture	Dingemans SA, et al. (2018)	





Incisional negative pressure wound therapy dressings (iNPWTd) in routine primary hip and knee arthroplasties: a randomised controlled trial

Karlakki SL, Hamad AK, Whittall C, Graham NM, Banerjee RD, Kuiper JH. Bone Joint Res. 2016;5:328-337.

Overview

- A single-centre, open-label, randomised, parallel-group, controlled trial to assess the effect of prophylactic PICO^o sNPWT compared with standard dressings on wound exudate, LoS, wound complications, dressing changes and cost-effectiveness in patients undergoing elective primary total hip or knee arthroplasty in the UK
- PICO sNPWT (n=102)
- Standard dressings (n=107)

Results

- SSC incidence was reduced more with PICO sNPWT than with standard dressings at 6 weeks follow-up (2.0 vs 8.4%; p=0.06)
- Compared with standard dressings, PICO sNPWT redistributed grades of peak post-surgical wound exudate (p=0.007) with more patients in low grades and fewer in high grades, and required significantly fewer dressing changes (2.5 vs 4.2; p=0.002)
- Use of PICO sNPWT benefited high-risk patients with ASA score ≥ 3 and BMI $\geq 35 kg/m^2$
- Mean LoS was reduced by 0.9 days with sNPWT compared with standard dressings (Figure)
- PICO sNPWT also helped to significantly reduce extreme LoS (≥13 days; 0 vs 2%; p=0.003)

Conclusions

0.9 days

PICO sNPWT helped to reduce the incidence of wound complications and reduce LoS (including extreme LoS) compared with standard dressings in primary hip and knee arthroplasty. The authors suggest that reductions in the incidence of wound complications are a result of reducing oedema and stabilising wound edges.



2. Nherera LM, et al.



Cost-effectiveness analysis of single-use negative pressure wound therapy dressings (sNPWT) to reduce surgical site complications (SSC) in routine primary hip and knee replacements

Nherera LM, Trueman P, Karlakki SL. Wound Repair Regen. 2017;25(3):474–482.

Overview

- An economic analysis comparing the expected costs and benefits of PICO^o sNPWT with standard dressings from the UK healthcare payer perspective in high-risk patients undergoing elective primary hip and knee replacement
- Analysis of data from Karlakki SL, et al. 2016
 - PICO sNPWT (n=102)
 - Standard care (n=107)

Results

- Estimated cost/patient was £5,692 and £6,740 for PICO sNPWT and standard care respectively, resulting in an estimated cost-saving of £1,049 in favour of PICO sNPWT
- Greater cost savings were observed in subgroups of high-risk patients, compared with standard dressings
- £7,955 per patient with a BMI \ge 35kg/mg²
- £7,248 per patient with an ASA score ≥3

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT is estimated to be a cost-effective intervention for helping to reduce SSCs following primary total hip and knee replacements. Estimated savings of £1,049 per patient were associated with using PICO sNPWT compared with standard care, with greater savings in high-risk patients.



Negative pressure wound therapy reduces the incidence of postoperative wound dehiscence and surgical site infections after total knee arthroplasty (TKA) in patients with obesity

Song QC, Li D, Zhao Y, et al. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022 Jul 8;101(27):e29641.

Overview

- Retrospective single-centre comparative study of outcomes following unilateral TKA treated with:
- PICO[◊] sNPWT for 3 days (n=150)
- Standard wound care (n=210)
- Only outcomes from obese patients (BMI >30) were analysed

Results

- Patients treated with PICO sNPWT, compared with standard wound care, had:
- Significantly lower incidence of wound dehiscence (3.3 vs 9.5%; p=0.023)
- Lower incidence of superficial SSI (11.3 vs 25.2%; p<0.05)
- Reduced need for re-operation (2.67 vs 9.05%; p=0.0107)

Conclusions

Prophylactic application of PICO sNPWT can significantly reduce the incidence of wound dehiscence, SSIs and the need for reoperation in obese patients undergoing TKA.

4. Helito CP, et al.

The use of negative-pressure wound therapy after total knee arthroplasty is effective for reducing complications and the need for reintervention

Helito CP, Sobrado MF, Giglio PN, et al. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2020;21(1):490.

Overview

- Consecutive, nonrandomised case series of patients with primary or secondary knee osteoarthritis who underwent elective unilateral TKA
- PICO sNPWT for 7 days (n=97)
- Historical controls receiving conventional dressings (n=199)

Results

Compared with conventional dressings, use of PICO sNPWT significantly reduced:

- Relative incidence of SSCs by 38% (45.7 vs 28.5%; p=0.001)
- Including skin necrosis (8.5 vs 2.1%; p=0.04), wound dehiscence (10.1 vs 3.1%; p=0.03) and hyperaemia (40.2 vs 14.7%; p=0.01)
- Need for surgical reintervention (8.5 vs 2.0%; p=0.001)

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT helped to significantly reduce SSCs, including necrosis and dehiscence, and the need for surgical reintervention compared with conventional dressings in patients undergoing TKA.



A feasibility study of standard dressings versus negative-pressure wound therapy in the treatment of adult patients having surgical incisions for hip fractures: the WHISH randomised controlled trial

Masters J, Cook J, Achten J, Costa ML. Bone Joint J. 2021;103-B(4):755-761.

Overview

- A multicentre, randomised, controlled feasibility trial conducted in patients aged >65 years undergoing hip fracture surgery
 - PICO^o sNPWT (n=214)
 - Standard dressings (n=218)

Results

- SSI incidence was lower with PICO sNPWT than with standard dressings at 30 (1.9 vs 6.4%) and 90 days (2.3 vs 6.4%) post surgery
- Nine patients with deep SSIs underwent re-operation during the study period
- Health-related quality of life scores were similar with PICO sNPWT and standard dressings 120 days post surgery

Conclusions

Patients undergoing hip fracture surgery had a lower incidence of SSIs with prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT than with standard dressings at 30 and 90 days post surgery.

70% relative reduction

in SSIs incidence with PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings 30 days after surgery (1.9 vs 6.4%)

Similar SSIs incidence with PICO sNPWT versus standard dressings at 90 days after surgery (vs 30 days after surgery)

6. Dingemans SA, et al.



Prophylactic negative pressure wound therapy after lower extremity fracture surgery: a pilot study

Dingemans SA, Birnie MFN, Backes M, et al. Int Orthop. 2018;42(4):747–753.

Overview

- Single-centre, prospective pilot study assessing the feasibility of using PICO^o sNPWT to help reduce the incidence of SSIs in adult patients undergoing major foot and ankle surgery (incision length ≥3cm)
- PICO sNPWT (n=53); 47 of which were case-match to the historical cohort

Results

- PICO sNPWT resulted in a 71% relative reduction in SSIs (total, superficial and deep) compared with controls (4.3 vs 14.9%; p=0.29), and a total incidence of SSIs of 7.5%
- Patient satisfaction with PICO sNPWT was high

Conclusions

Prophylactic use of PICO sNPWT resulted in an SSI incidence of 7.5% in patients undergoing major foot and ankle surgery. Patient satisfaction with PICO sNPWT was high in this pilot study.

Introduction





of wounds persist for more than one year*³⁵

Unhealed wounds cost on average **135%** more than wounds that have healed³⁶ PICO[°] sNPWT has been shown to significantly improve a variety of healing-related outcomes in a range of non-healing wounds indications

NON-HEALING WOUNDS	
VLU, DFU	Kirsner R, et al. (2019)
	Kirsner RS, et al. (2020)
	Patel A, et al. (2019)
DFU	Sharpe A, et al. (2018)
VLU, PU	Hampton J. (2015)
Dehisced surgical wounds	Hughes J, et al. (2020)
Hard-to-heal wounds of various aetiologies	Hampton J, et al. (2022)
	Hurd T, et al. (2020)
	McCluskey P, et al. (2020)
	Dowsett C, et al. (2017)

Click on the author to navigate to study

*Data representing the National Health Service in the UK.

1. Kirsner R, et al.



A prospective, randomised, controlled clinical trial on the efficacy of a single-use negative pressure wound therapy system, compared to traditional negative pressure wound therapy in the treatment of chronic ulcers of the lower extremities

Kirsner R, Dove C, Reyzelman A, Vayser D, Jaimes H. Wound Repair Regen. 2019;27(5):519–529.

Overview

- A randomised, controlled, multicentre study conducted at 16 centres in the USA and two centres in Canada to evaluate efficacy and safety of PICO^o sNPWT or tNPWT to manage lower extremity ulcers (>4 weeks in duration)
- In total, 161 patients were included in the ITT population (101 VLUs; 60 DFUs) and were randomised to receive either PICO sNPWT (n=80) or tNPWT (n=81)
- The PP population (non-inferiority analysis) included 115 patients (PICO sNPWT, n=64; tNPWT, n=51)

Results

- Reduction in wound area was significantly greater with PICO sNPWT than tNPWT in the PP population (88.7 vs 58.6% mean reduction; p=0.003) and the ITT population (p<0.001; Figure)
- Significant LS mean reductions in wound area were also achieved with PICO sNPWT versus tNPWT in VLU (36.2%; p=0.007) and DFU (38.8%; p=0.031) subgroups
- Reductions in wound depth and volume in the PP and ITT populations (Figure) were also significantly greater with PICO sNPWT versus tNPWT (p<0.02, all comparisons)
- More patients had complete wound closure at 12 weeks with PICO sNPWT than with tNPWT (45 vs 22%; p=0.002; ITT population)
- Overall satisfaction with PICO sNPWT was significantly greater than with tNPWT

PICO sNPWT (n=80) tNPWT (n=81)

in patients with complete wound closure at 12 weeks with PICO sNPWT versus tNPWT (p=0.002)

Conclusions

In patients with VLUs and DFUs, PICO sNPWT significantly reduced wound area, depth and volume compared with tNPWT; complete closure of lower extremity ulcers at 12 weeks was more frequent with PICO sNPWT than with tNPWT.



Figure. Percentage reductions from baseline in wound area and depth with PICO sNPWT and tNPWT at 12 weeks (ITT population; LS mean values)

2. Kirsner RS, et al.



Kirsner RS, Delhougne G, Searle RJ. Wound Manag Prev. 2020;66(3):30–38.

Overview

- A cost-effectiveness evaluation of PICO^o sNPWT and tNPWT in treating lower extremity ulcers (US payer perspective)
 - Time horizons of 12 and 26 weeks were used to show the effect on wound closure
- Analysis of data from Kirsner, et al., 2019 and US National 2016 Medicare claims

A cost-effectiveness analysis comparing single-use and traditional negative pressure wound therapy to treat chronic venous and diabetic foot ulcers

Results

- For both ulcer types combined, switching from tNPWT to PICO sNPWT resulted in an estimated:
- Expected cost saving per patient of \$7,756 at week 12 and \$15,749 at week 26
- Decrease in total expected open ulcer weeks of 1.67 at week 12 and 5.31 at week 26
- Increase in percentage of expected closed ulcers of 22.6% at week 12 and 31.0% at week 26
- Similar results were observed for VLUs and DFUs when analysed separately

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT was estimated to be highly cost saving and reduced expected weeks to ulcer closure compared with tNPWT in patients with VLUs and DFUs, when analysed from a US payer perspective.

\$15,749 estimated cost saving per patient with PICO sNPWT versus tNPWT at week 26



Comparison of wound closure in chronic lower extremity ulcers between single use negative pressure wound therapy and traditional negative pressure wound therapy: a real-world analysis

Patel A, Delhougne G, Nherera L. Poster presented at: Wild on Wounds National Conference. September 11–14, 2019. Las Vegas, NV, USA.

Overview

- Retrospective cohort study to assess wound closure rates with PICO^o sNPWT and tNPWT in a real-world setting in patients with DFUs and VLUs
- PICO sNPWT: DFUs (n=84); VLUs (n=62)
- tNPWT: DFUs (n=86); VLUs (n=60)

Results

- Compared with tNPWT, wound closure rates with PICO sNPWT were greater for all lower extremity ulcers (46.6 vs 34.9%; p=0.043)
- Rates were also greater for DFUs and VLUs when analysed alone
- Compared with tNPWT, wounds treated with PICO sNPWT were 89% more likely to achieve closure (p=0.042)

Conclusions

Lower extremity ulcers (DFUs and VLUs) of patients treated with PICO sNPWT were more likely to achieve wound closure than those treated with tNPWT in this retrospective analysis of real-world outpatient wound clinic data.



Using single use negative pressure wound therapy for patients with complicated diabetic foot ulcers: an economic perspective

Sharpe A, Myers D, Searle R. Wounds UK. 2018;14(4):89–93.

Overview

- UK case series of four patients using PICO 7 sNPWT to help manage complicated DFUs
- Patients and carers self-assessed the dressing status using the dressing-full indicator
- PICO 7 sNPWT (n=4)

Results

- All four DFUs improved (mean ulcer area reduction, 49%), exudate levels were managed effectively and the frequency of dressing changes was reduced
- Total combined weekly clinician time saving using PICO 7 sNPWT was 279min (4hr 39min) for four patients
- Use of PICO sNPWT was estimated to release 13.5
 clinician hours per patient on average over 12 weeks

Conclusions

Frequency of clinician visits and dressing changes were reduced by using PICO 7 sNPWT to help manage DFUs, improving service delivery with potential efficiency savings compared with prior practice.

5. Hampton J, et al.



Providing cost-effective treatment of hard-to-heal wounds in the community through use of NPWT

Hampton J. Br J Community Nurs. 2015;S14 (Suppl Community Wound Care): S16–S20.

Overview

- Cohort case study involving patients with hard-to-heal VLUs and PUs treated in the community setting for >6 weeks
- Patients received PICO^o sNPWT for 2 weeks followed by standard treatment appropriate for each wound

- PICO sNPWT (n=9)

Results

- Average weekly reduction in wound size was 21%
- With PICO sNPWT target wound size was achieved on average 10 weeks earlier than predicted with standard treatment
- In wounds that responded, wound size reduction was
 6 times faster than predicted with standard treatment
- Mean savings of DKK 6,670 (€895)* per patient using PICO sNPWT compared with prior standard treatment

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT for 2 weeks helped to kick start the healing of chronic hardto-heal wounds, which resulted in faster overall rates of healing and reduced costs compared with previous standard treatment.



The burden of dehisced wounds in the community: using early results from a multi-centre service evaluation to propose a standard of care to improve patient outcomes and safeguard woundcare budgets

Hughes J, Costello M, Belshaw M, Horton H, Styche T. Br J Health Care Manag. 2020;27:16–25.

Overview

- Analysis of a subset of 34 dehisced surgical wounds from a service evaluation of PICO^o sNPWT
- The service evaluation adopted a previously published pathway for hard-to-heal wounds

Results

- After implementation of the PICO sNPWT pathway, 18 of 34 wounds (53%) healed within 12 weeks
 - Mean time to healing was 6.1 weeks
- Mean dressing change frequency reduced with use of PICO sNPWT from 4.7 to 3.2 times per week and remained at 3.3 times per week after returning to standard care
- Estimated savings of £16,577 for total wound care treatment over 12 weeks with PICO sNPWT versus standard care
- Nursing time was reduced by 513 hours using PICO sNPWT compared with standard care

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT as part of a pathway for hard-to-heal wounds helped to support healing of dehisced surgical wounds, as well as reduce estimated total costs and release nursing time compared with prior standard care in this service evaluation.

of dehisced wounds healed within 12 weeks



estimated cost reduction with PICO sNPWT use versus standard care (from £76,828 to 60,251)



Multi-centre, international practice-based evidence using PICO° single-use negative pressure wound therapy: challenging current behaviours in wound care practice

Hampton J, Meagher H, Sharpe A, et al. Wounds International. 2022;13(2):46–53.

Overview

- In service evaluation involving patients with non-healing wounds of various aetiologies:
 - Wounds were predominantly static or had minimal progression towards healing
 - Mean wound duration was 26.5 weeks
- 323 patients were treated with PICO sNPWT as part of a predetermined clinical pathway
- An economic model compared outcomes with the likely
 outcomes had PICO sNPWT not been employed

Results

- Within 12 weeks of initiation of PICO sNPWT:
 - 52% of the wounds healed
- Dressing change frequency reduced by a third (vs frequency before PICO sNPWT; 3.0 vs 4.7 times per week)
- Costs were estimated to have reduced by 30% to £651 per patient

Conclusions

With use of PICO sNPWT in a pathway for non-healing wounds, more than half of wounds had healed in 12 weeks and dressing change frequency was reduced compared with standard care. Overall wound care costs were estimated to reduce by around 30%.





Single use negative pressure wound therapy (sNPWT) in the community management of chronic open wounds deeper than 2cm

Hurd T, Gilchrist B. Poster presented at: Symposium on Advanced Wound Care/WHS Annual Meeting. July 24–26, 2020; virtual conference.

Overview

- Retrospective two-year analysis of the healing of chronic open wounds >2cm deep (DFUs, VLUs, PUs and dehisced surgical wounds) in the home or community care setting following introduction of an integrated care bundle including PICO^o sNPWT compared with standard care
- PICO sNPWT (409 wounds; patients were significantly older with higher comorbidity score, both p<0.001)
- Standard care (2,242 wounds)

Results

- Use of PICO sNPWT to manage chronic open wounds >2cm compared with standard care resulted in:
- Shorter mean healing times (46% relative reduction; 11.5 days)
- Longer mean time between dressing changes (3.23 days)

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT may help reduce healing times and frequency of dressing changes in chronic open wounds >2cm deep compared with standard care.

9. McCluskey P, et al.

McCluskey P, Brennan K, Mullan J, et al. JCN. 2020;34:36-43.

Overview

- A service evaluation at seven centres in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland
- Wound healing and health economic impact (in UK sterling and Euros) of using PICO^o sNPWT versus standard care on hard-to-heal wounds over 12 weeks (or until healing) were assessed
- Median wound duration was 3–6 months; 36 wounds were included
- Eligible patients had:
 - Wounds >6 weeks in duration with no signs of clinical infection
 - <10% per week wound area reduction over 4 weeks</p>
 - No NPWT in the last 6 weeks or contraindications for NPWT
 - ABPI >0.8 and <1.3 for VLUs

Impact of a single-use negative pressure wound therapy system on healing

Results

- Using PICO sNPWT, 20 of 36 wounds healed within 12 weeks (55.6%)
- Mean healing time was 6.95 weeks
- Wound healing rate was greater for wounds with
 <3 months duration than those with ≥3 months duration (84.6 vs 71.4%; p=0.0125; Figure)
- Improvements in mean wound area per week with PICO sNPWT (-16.8%) continued after use (-18.9%)
- Dressing changes per week were less frequent with PICO sNPWT versus standard care (1.75 vs 3.56 changes; p<0.001)
- They were also less frequent in the post PICO sNPWT phase (1.95 vs 3.56 changes per week; p<0.001)
- Use of PICO sNPWT was predicted to reduce costs versus standard care (Figure):
 - Total costs by 25% (£15,467) and 21% (€12,001)
- Nursing resource costs by 59% (£31,494 and €27,517)

Conclusions

In patients with hard-to-heal wounds, PICO sNPWT was most effective for wounds of <3 months in duration. It helped to reduce dressing change frequency and was predicted to reduce nursing resource costs compared with standard care.



Figure. Wound healing by duration of wound at baseline and predicted cost savings with use of PICO sNPWT (*p=0.0125)

10. Dowsett C, et al.



Use of PICO[°] to improve clinical and economic outcomes in hard-to-heal wounds

Dowsett C, Hampton J, Myers D, Styche T. Wounds International. 2017;8(2):52–58.

Overview

- A prospective cohort study of 52 hard-to-heal wounds of varied aetiology and duration treated according to the PICO sNPWT pathway
 - Patients were switched from standard care to treatment with PICO sNPWT at week 0 for at least two weeks

Results

- During PICO sNPWT treatment, wound area reduced by 13.4% more per week than pre-PICO sNPWT (p=0.006)
- After the PICO sNPWT phase, wound area reduced by 9.6% more per week than pre-PICO sNPWT (p=0.001)
- PICO improved the trajectory of wounds of over 1 year, and healing rates were almost three times greater in wounds of <3 months duration (94.1 vs 33.3%)
- Implementing the PICO sNPWT pathway was estimated to reduce total costs by 33.1% (£50,000) and release 119 nursing days over 26 weeks compared with predictions for standard care

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT helped to significantly improve the healing trajectory of hardto-heal wounds, resulting in reduced estimated costs and nursing time compared with previous standard care.

119 davs

nursing days with PICO sNPWT pared with predictions for standard care

33.1% estimated cost reduction with PICO sNPWT compared with predictions for standard care

Introduction



The mode of action of all NPWT is multi-factorial:^{37,38}





Provides a moist wound environment³⁷



Protects from external contamination^{37,38}



Maintains an efficient blood supply to the wound (**perfusion**)^{37,38}



Helps to promote lymphatic drainage³⁷ and **reduce oedema**^{37,38}

The mode of action of **PICO**^o **sNPWT** has been explored in a range of studies

MO	F AC	

Lateral tension	Brownhill RV, et al. (2023)	
across closed incision	Loveluck J, et al. (2016)	
Granulation tissue, wound healing	Brownhill RV, et al. (2021)	
Blood supply/perfusion	Innocenti M, et al. (2019)	
Fluid handling, pressure transmission	Malmsjö M, et al. (2014)	





In closed incisions NPWT holds closed incision together reducing lateral tension forces across the incision³⁸



In open wounds

the combination of macro and micro-deformation (wound contraction and filling of tissue defects with new granulation tissue) leads to reduction in wound area and wound depth³⁸



sNPWT versus conventional dressings for the reduction of surgical wound dehiscence (SWD): in vitro and clinical data

Brownhill RV, Costa B, Melbourne G, France L. Presented at European Wound Management Association 2023, 3–5 May 2023, Milan, Italy.

Overview

 An analysis was carried out on porcine ex vivo tissue to assess fluid movement and lateral tension on an incisional wound when treated with PICO^o sNPWT or a conventional dressing

Results

- PICO sNPWT induced:
- Wide zonal compressive forces, up to 3cm from the incisional edge
- Greater moisture movement compared to conventional dressing
- Greater force at the incisional line throughout the experiment compared with conventional therapy at 7.5mm incision (p<0.05)

Conclusions

Compared to conventional dressings, PICO sNPWT provided greater force to help keep the incisional edges apposed and stimulated greater movement of fluid. This is consistent with published clinical findings that PICO sNPWT can reduce the risk of post-surgical dehiscence.



Biomechanical modeling of the forces applied to closed incisions during single-use negative pressure wound therapy

Loveluck J, Copeland T, Hill J, Hunt A, Martin R. ePlasty. 2016;16:e20.

Overview

 Finite element analysis computer modelling and biomechanical testing with Syndaver SynTissue[™] synthetic skin were used to explore the resulting biomechanical forces from the application of PICO sNPWT on a sutured incision

Results

- FEA computer modelling:
 - Application of -80mmHg reduces the lateral tension on an individual suture from 1.31N to 0.4N and exerts a compressive closing force
- Biomechanical testing:
- At a pressure of -80mmHg, 55% more force is required to disrupt an incision that had PICO sNPWT applied than an incision closed with sutures or staples with no NPWT applied

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT was able to reduce lateral tension across a closed incision wound, which may explain reductions observed in SSCs.

3. Brownhill RV, et al.



Pre-clinical assessment of single-use negative pressure wound therapy during in vivo porcine wound healing

Brownhill RV, Huddleston E, Bell A, et al. Adv Wound Care. 2021;10(7):345–356.

Overview

- Using an in vivo wound model (12 pigs), contralateral wounds were created (3cm diameter; 24 per group) and treated with either PICO^o sNPWT (no filler) or tNPWT (foam filler)
- PICO sNPWT was changed every 6 days and tNPWT was changed every 3 days
- Comparative assessments of wound area, re-epithelialisation and contraction were made at days 6 and 12
- Wound granulation, surface damage and peri-wound skin health were also assessed

Results

- Compared with tNPWT, PICO sNPWT had:
- Significantly greater reductions in wound area at days
 6 and 12 (Figure)
- Increased re-epithelialisation at days 6 (p<0.01) and 12 (p<0.001)
- Less wound edge hyperproliferation
- Improved quality and maturity of granulation tissue (increased collagen deposition and matrix components)
- Reduced wound surface damage with less noticeable bleeding upon dressing removal
- Wound bed inflammation was reduced with PICO sNPWT versus tNPWT
 - Trapped foam filler particles caused foreign body reactions (increased neutrophils, inflammatory cytokines and matrix metalloproteinases)
- With use of PICO sNPWT there was less disruption to skin around the wound, less peri-wound erythema and skin barrier function was less compromised than with tNPWT
- Peri-wound skin had less inflammation with use of PICO sNPWT than with tNPWT, which may help support a prohealing wound edge environment

Conclusions

Use of PICO sNPWT increased wound closure compared with tNPWT in this porcine model of wound healing; re-epithelialisation was faster, granulation tissue was more mature and peri-wound skin was less compromised.



Figure. Difference in percentage change in wound area with PICO sNPWT vs tNPWT at days 6 and 12 post injury

4. Innocenti M, et al.



Effects of cutaneous negative pressure application on perforator artery flow in healthy volunteers: a preliminary study

Innocenti M, Santini M, Dreassi E, et al. J Reconstr Microsurg. 2019;35(3):189–193.

Overview

 A single-centre study to evaluate the effects of PICO^o sNPWT on blood flow in cutaneous perforator arteries in 10 volunteers compared with controls

Results

- Mean flow velocity increased from 19.870 to 28.618cm/sec (↑8.748cm/sec) with PICO sNPWT and from 28.635 to 31.370cm/sec (↑2.735cm/sec) with controls
- PICO sNPWT increased mean flow in perforator vessels by 8.765cm/sec versus controls (p<0.0001)
- Application of PICO sNPWT to just one perforator vessel increased the relative flowmetry in both perforator vessels by 2.74cm/sec (p<0.0001)

Conclusions

In this preliminary study, PICO sNPWT significantly increased flowmetry in perforator vessels compared with controls, which if confirmed in a subsequent study, could be clinically relevant in microsurgical procedures.



Biological effects of a disposable, canisterless negative pressure wound therapy system

Malmsjö M, Huddleston E, Martin R. ePlasty. 2014;14:e15.

Overview

- Preclinical assessment of the biological effect of PICO sNPWT compared with tNPWT in a porcine full thickness defect wound model and sutured incisional wound model
- Fluid handling was assessed in this in vitro wound model

Results

- PICO sNPWT delivers therapeutic levels of NPWT, with similar effects to tNPWT on:
 - Wound edge contraction
 - Microvascular blood flow
 - Pressure transmission
 - Effective exudate handling

Conclusions

PICO sNPWT functioned in a similar manner to tNPWT with regard to exudate handling, pressure transmission to the wound bed, wound edge contraction and changes in microvascular blood flow.

Closed surgical incision management pathway: when to use PICO^o sNPWT



Mode Prese

Identify patients at risk of SSCs during pre-operative risk assessment:^{10,38}

Major risk factor:
Presence of 1 = high risk of SSC
01 550

		Patient-related risk factor	Procedure-related risk factor		
ajor risk factor: esence of 1 = high risk of SSC		BMI≥40	Emergency surgery	LOW RISK Use standard	
		Untreated insulin-dependent diabetes	Extended surgery time	of SSC surgical dressing	
		Renal dialysis	Hypothermia	AT RISK Consider use	
		ASA physical status >II	Anaemia/blood transfusion	of SSC of PICO sNPWT	
derate risk factor: sence of ≥2 = high risk of SSC		BMI 30–39.9kg/m²	Dual antiplatelet treatment		
		Immunosuppression	Suboptimal timing or omission of prophylactic antibiotics	The presence of just 1 major risk factor or 2 or more moderate risk factors places patients at high risk of SSCs and means you should consider PICO sNPWT ³⁹	
		Smoker (current)	Tissue trauma/large area of dissection/large area of undermining		

Hard-to-heal pathway: when to use PICO^{\$} sNPWT





Final assessment and discontinuation from evaluation

for further PICO sNPWT treatment based on clinician judgement.

PICO⁶ sNPWT provides NPWT at -80mmHg

Clinical guidelines and consensus groups recommend therapeutic negative pressure levels of **-50 to -150mmHg** for wound care^{37,39} **High or low** negative pressure levels are advised depending on exudate levels, wound type and pain experienced by patients^{37,39,40} PICO sNPWT consistently delivers negative pressure at **-80mmHg**, a level sufficient to manage most wounds with low to moderate exudate⁴⁰

'There is seldom any reason to use a negative pressure greater than -80mmHg, but as the drainage of exudate may be improved at -125mmHg, this pressure level could be used during the initial treatment of highly exuding wounds.'⁴⁰



Smith-Nephew

Smith & Nephew Pty Ltd Australia T +61 2 9857 3999 F +61 2 9857 3900 smith-nephew.com/en-au

Smith & Nephew Ltd New Zealand T +64 9 820 2840 F +64 9 820 2841 smith-nephew.com/en-nz

◊Trademark of Smith+Nephew
 All trademarks acknowledged
 © November 2023 Smith+Nephew
 26268-anz V3 11/23

This material is intended for healthcare professionals. For detailed product information, including indications for use, contraindications, precautions and warnings, please consult the product's applicable Instructions for Use (IFU) prior to use.

Developed by Evidence Communications, Global Clinical & Medical Affairs

www.smith-nephew.com

1. PICO° Single Use Negative Wound Pressure. Available at: https://www.smith-nephew.com/new-zealand/healthcare/products/product-types/negative-pressure-wound-therapy/pico/. Accessed June 11, 2020.. 2. Casey C. Consistent delivery of therapeutic negative pressure levels by a single use negative pressure wound therapy system (sNPWT)* in a wound model. Paper presented at: EWMA; 2019; Gothenburg, Sweden. 3. Smith+Nephew 2019. Internal Report. RD/19/006. 4. Smith+Nephew 2019. Report. DS/19/211/R. 5. Smith+Nephew 2021. Internal Report. DS/19/211/R - Part B. 6. Smith+Nephew 2018. Internal Report. DS/18/153/R. 7. Smith+Nephew 2020. Internal Report. 2001002. 8. Smith+Nephew 2018. Internal Report. DS.18.260.R. 9. Malmsjö M, Huddleston E, Martin R. Biological effects of a disposable, canisterless negative pressure wound therapy system. ePlasty. 2014;14:e15. 10. WHO Global Guidelines for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection. 2016. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/277399. Accessed August 30, 2023. 11. World Union of Wound Healing Societies (WUWHS) Consensus Document. Closed surgical incision management: understanding the role of NPWT. Wounds International, 2016. Available at: https://woundsinternational.com/world-union-resources/closed-surgical-incision-managementunderstanding-the-role-of-npwt/. Accessed August 30, 2023. 12. World Union of Wound Healing Societies (WUWHS) Consensus Document. Wound exudate: effective assessment and management. Wounds International, 2019. Available at: https://woundsinternational.com/world-union-resources/wuwhs-consensus-document-wound-exudate-effectiveassessment-and-management/ Accessed August 30, 2023. 13. NICE Medical technologies guidance: PICO sNPWT negative pressure wound therapy for closed surgical incision wounds (MGT43). Available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ mtg43. Accessed June 11, 2020. 14. Smith+Nephew's PICO 7 and PICO 14 Negative Pressure Wound Therapy Systems are the first systems indicated to aid in reducing the incidence of both deep and superficial incisional surgical site infections and dehiscence. Available at: http://www.smith-nephew.com/en-gb/news/2022/01/24/20220124-pico-7-and-pico-14-negative-pressure-wound-therapy-systems. Accessed September 8, 2023. 15. Tanner J, Khan D, Aplin C, Ball J, Thomas M, Bankart J. Post-discharge surveillance to identify colorectal surgical site infection rates and related costs. J. Hosp. Infect. 2009;72(3):243-50. 16. Petrosillo N, Drapeau CM, Nicastri E, Martini L, Ippolito G, Moro ML. Surgical site infections in Italian hospitals: a prospective multicenter study. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2008;8(1):34. 17. Wick EC, Gibbs L, Indorf LA, Varma MG, García-Aguilar J. Implementation of guality measures to reduce surgical site infection in colorectal patients. Dis. Colon Rectum. 2008;51(7):1004–1009. 18. Smith RL, Bohl JK, McElearney ST, et al. Wound infection after elective colorectal resection. Ann. Surg. 2004;239(5):599. 19. Yoshida J, Shinohara M, Ishikawa M, Matsuo K. Surgical site infection in general and thoracic surgery: surveillance of 2,663 cases in a Japanese teaching hospital. Surg. Today. 2006;36(2):114-8. 20. Serra-Aracil X, Espin-Basany E, Biondo S, Guirao X, Orrego C, Sitges-Serra A. Surgical site infection in elective operations for colorectal cancer after the application of preventive measures. Arch. Surg. 2011;146(5):606–12. 21. Olsen MA, Chu-Ongsakul S, Brandt KE, Dietz JR, Mayfield J, Fraser VJ. Hospital-associated costs due to surgical site infection after breast surgery. Arch. Surg. 2008;143(1):53-60. 22. Irwin GW, Boundouki G, Fakim B, et al. Negative Pressure Wound Therapy reduces wound breakdown and implant loss in prepectoral breast reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2020;8:e2667. 23. McIntosh J, O'Donoghue JM. Therapeutic mammaplasty – a systematic review of the evidence. Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. 2012;38(3):196–202. 24. Vincent SM, Gallagher M, Johnston A, Djohan R, Varzgalis M, Sugrue M. The keys to optimising breast wounds: a metaanalysis. Advances in Breast Cancer Research. 2019;8(3):87–111. 25. Nelson JA, Fischer JP, Chung CU, et al. Obesity and early complications following reduction mammaplasty: an analysis of 4,545 patients from the 2005–2011 NSOIP datasets. J. Plast. Surg. Hand Surg. 2014;48(5):334–339. 26. Jenks PJ, Laurent M, McQuarry S, Watkins R. Clinical and economic burden of surgical site infection (SSI) and predicted financial consequences of elimination of SSI from an English hospital. J. Hosp. Infect. 2014;86(1):24-33. 27. Turtiainen J, Hakala T, Hakkarainen T, Karhukorpi J. The impact of surgical wound bacterial colonization on the incidence of surgical site infection after lower limb vascular surgery: a prospective observational study. Eur. J. Vasc. Endovasc. Surg. 2014;47(4):411-7. 28. Totty JP, Moss JW, Barker E, et al. The impact of surgical site infection on hospitalisation, treatment costs, and health-related quality of life after vascular surgery. Int. Wound J. 2021;18(3):261-8. 29. Audu CO, Columbo JA, Sun SJ, et al. Variation in timing and type of groin wound complications highlights the need for uniform reporting standards. J. Vasc. Surg. 2019;69(2):532-43. 30. NHS Digital. Maternity Services Monthly Statistics May 2020, experimental statistics. Available at: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/maternity-services-monthly-statistics/may-2020 Accessed July 30, 2023. 31. Temming LA, Raghuraman N, Carter EB, et al. Impact of evidence-based interventions on wound complications after cesarean delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2017;217(4):449.e1-449.e9. 32. Patel VP, Walsh M, Sehgal B, Preston C, DeWal H, Di Cesare PE. Factors associated with prolonged wound drainage after primary total hip and knee arthroplasty. J. Bone Joint Surg. Am. 2007;89(1):33-38. 33. Poultsides LA, Ma Y, Della Valle AG, Chiu YL, Sculco TP, Memtsoudis SG. In-hospital surgical site infections after primary hip and knee arthroplasty – incidence and risk factors. J. Arthroplasty. 2013;28(3):385-389. 34. Tucker A, Walls A, Leckey B, et al. Postdischarge unscheduled care burden after lower limb arthroplasty. J. Arthroplasty. 2018;33(9):2745-2751. 35. Guest JF, Fuller GW, Vowden P. Cohort study evaluating the burden of wounds to the UK's National Health Service in 2017/2018: update from 2012/2013. BMJ Open. 2020 Dec 22;10(12):e045253. 36. Guest JF, Ayoub N, McIlwraith T, Uchegbu I, Gerrish A, Weidlich D, Vowden K, Vowden P. Health economic burden that different wound types impose on the UK's National Health Service. Int Wound J. 2017 Apr;14(2):322-330. 37. Apelqvist J, Willy C, Fagerdahl AM, et al. Negative Pressure Wound Therapy - overview, challenges and perspectives. J Wound Care 2017; 26: 3, Suppl 3, S1-S113. 38. Sugrue M, Ciprandi G, Djohan R, et al. World Union of Wound Healing Societies (WUWHS) Consensus Document. Closed surgical incision management: Understanding the role of NPWT. Available at.: www.woundsinternational.com/wuwhs/view/consensus-documentclosed-surgical-incision-management-understanding-the-roleof-npwt. Accessed July 30, 2023. 39. Birke-Sorensen H, Malmsjö M, Rome P, et al. Evidence-based recommendations for negative pressure wound therapy: treatment variables (pressure levels, wound filler and contact layer) – steps towards an international consensus. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2011;64 Suppl:S1–16. 40. Malmsjö M, Borgquist O. NPWT settings and dressing choices made easy. Wounds International. 2010;1(3):1-6.