+ Case Study

Author: Emma Walters, Tissue Viability Nurse, University Hospital, Plymouth NHS Trust

ALLEVYN⁶ GENTLE BORDER – The importance of comfort for a complex surgical wound

+ Case study plus points



Introduction

When choosing dressings in clinical practice, it's important to consider not only their effectiveness in managing exudate and promoting healing but also factors like patient comfort and ease of application and removal.¹ This approach aims to alleviate the psychological stress and pain linked to dressing changes.²

For this complex case, it was a priority for the Tissue Viability Nurse (TVN) to choose a foam dressing that was:

- Manage pain associated with dressing changes
- Protect surrounding skin and a fragile incision
- Comfortable and able to be applied to awkward areas.
- Occlusive

• Able to manage moderate exudate levels and secretions and reduce the number of dressing changes

To address these factors, the TVN opt for ALLEVYN GENTLE BORDER Dressing; this dressing includes a polyurethane top film with moisture vapour transmission, along with a polyurethane foam absorbent layer.^{3,4,5} Moreover, it features a perforated wound contact layer coated with a gentle silicone gel adhesive, ensuring skin comfort.6

This case study represents a complex wound that requires effective wound care and appropriate dressing selection, with the added complexity of the patient having a darker skin tone. Diagnostic indications for wound infection and deterioration were very hard to detect, such as inflammation and discolouration. There were concerns that this would delay healing or worsen the wound outcomes. It's crucial to ensure assessment for all patients. regardless of skin colour. Skin assessment should be routine, enabling early identification of any changes or issues without viewing dark skin as a challenge in clinical practice.⁷

Case presentation

A 30-year-old was admitted to the hospital with a tooth abscess, which led to complications requiring him to be placed in an induced coma. Unfortunately, prior to admission, the infection went unnoticed due to challenges in detecting it

in dark skin tones.⁷ Complications led to necrotising fasciitis within the neck region. This often originates from a primary odontogenic infection and is a rare but potentially life-threatening soft tissue infection, typically affecting individuals under 40 years old.8

He underwent a total of 11 operations to clean and debride the extensive wound on his neck resulting from the initial abscess.

The Tissue Viability Nurse (TVN) was involved in the patient's care due to the complexity of the neck wound. (Image 1).

When assessed by the TVN team on day 1, the wound measured 13cm (L) x 16cm (W) x 2.5cm (D) with visible undermining. Initially, a tracheostomy was inserted for airway management when being sedated and ventilated. Upon weaning from ventilation and after tracheostomy removal.

it was noted that there was a connection between the tracheostomy stoma site and the neck wound (Image 2), resulting in air escaping through the wound and secretions collecting in the cavity. Therefore, it was essential to opt for an occlusive dressing to help manage this.

The exudate levels were moderate, with 80% granulation tissue and 20% sloughy tissue. The level of pain for the patient was 6/10

Treatment and outcomes

Two days later the wound was assessed. The dressings continued to conform well to the wound, effectively managing the exudate levels and preventing any maceration to the surrounding skin while also protecting both the wound and the incision line.

The amount of sloughy tissue had decreased by 10% (Image 4), and the patient expressed satisfaction with the visible progress of the wound, indicating the potential for skin grafting in the near future.

The patient described the dressing as: "being comfortable to wear" (Image 5)

Five days after the initial assessment, the wound had continued to de-slough, granulation was 98%, and the pain score was 3 (Image 6).

QOL

This young man, usually active and sociable, faced significant challenges due to his extended illness, hospitalization, and wound care. However, the ALLEVYN^o GENTLE BORDER dressing, with its gentle silicone adhesive, notably eased the discomfort during dressing changes. The dressing helped progress this wound to a clean, moist wound bed ready for his skin graft and achieved full recovery after six weeks post-graft, allowing him to return to his usual lifestyle without hindrance (Image 7).

Conclusions

The author would like to thank Gemma McGrath, Healthcare Outcomes Manager at Smith+Nephew, for supporting the medical writing of this case study.



Image 1: 30% slough, 70% granulation neasured 13 (L) × 16 (w) x 2.5 (D)

Image 2: Day 1 13cm (L) x 16cm W) x 2.5cm

D) with visible.

80% granulation, 20% slough

undermining

Image 3: Daily dressing changes







Image 4: Dav

Granulation 90%, sloughy tissue 10%





Image 6: Day 5 - Granulation 98%, sloughy tissue 2%

Results may vary





as the wound was extensive, and dressing changes were painful foi the patient; the wound was being managed every day by the surgical team prior to TVN involvement with iodine-soaked gauze and bandage to the lower neck (image 3).

At this point in care (day 1), an ALLEVYN GENTLE BORDER Sacrum Dressing was applied with an antimicrobial filler and dressing changes were reduced to 3 times per week.

The TVN stated: "The arrow part of the dressing fitted comfortably across the incision, which looked vulnerable behind his ear and conformed well in the neck."



Products may not be available in all markets because product availability is subject to the regulatory and/or medical practices in individual markets. Please contact your Smith+Nephew representative or distributor if you have questions about the availability of Smith+Nephew products in your area. For detailed device information, including indications for use, contraindications, precautions and warnings, please consult the product's Instructions for Use (IFU) prior to use.

This case is provided for informational and educational purposes only. This case may not represent typical outcomes. Each patient undergoing wound treatment represents unique sets of circumstances and, therefore, results may vary. Smith+Nephew does not provide medical advice. The information presented is not and is not intended to serve as medical advice. It is the responsibility of the treating physician to determine and utilise the appropriate products and techniques according to their own clinical judgment for each of their patients.

References 1. Moffatt CJ, Franks PJ, Hollinworth H. An international perspective on wound pain and trauma. Ostomy Wound Management. 2003 Apr;49(4):12-4. PMID: 12732737. 2. Rippon M, Davies P, White R. Taking the trauma out of wound care: the importance of undisturbed healing. J Wound Care. 2012 Aug;21(8):359-60, 362, 364-8. doi: 10.12968/jowc.2012.21.8.359. PMID: 22885308. 3. Smith & Nephew 2016. ALLEVYN Gentle Border Heel Gen 2 - physical evaluation. Internal Report. DS/16/465/R. 4. Smith & Nephew 2017. ALLEVYN Gentle Border Gen 2 - Physical Evaluation. Internal Report. DS/16/424/R V3. 5. Smith & Nephew 2017. Permeability of Hydrophilic Polyurethane Film when in Contact with water & water vapour. Internal Report. RD/17/098. 6. Hurd T, Gregory L, Jones A, Brown S. A multi-centre in-market evaluation of ALLEVYN Gentle Border. Wounds UK. 2009;5(3):32-44. 7. Wounds UK (2021) Best Practice Statement: Addressing skin tone bias in wound care: assessing signs and symptoms in people with dark skin tones. Wounds UK, London. Available to download from: www.wounds-uk.com. 8. Maria A, Rajnikanth K. Cervical necrotising fasciitis caused by dental infection: A review and case report. Natl J Maxillofac Surg. 2010 Jul;1(2):135-8. doi: 10.4103/0975-5950.79215. PMID: 22442584; PMCID: PMC3304199.

Smith+Nephew, Croxley Park, Building 5, Hatters Lane, Watford, Hertfordshire WD18 8YE T +44 (0)1923 477100 F +44 (0)1923 477101 Smith+Nephew, Kay Fiskers Plads 9, 2300 København S, Denmark T: +45 45 80 61 00 cs.dk@smith-nephew.com Smith+Nephew, Krokslätts Fabriker 39, 431 37 Mölndal, Sweden T: +46 31 74 65 800 cs.se@smith-nephew.com Smith+Nephew, Snarøyveien 36, 1364 Fornebu, Norway T: +47 66 84 20 20 cs.no@smith-nephew.com Smith+Nephew, Lentäjäntie 1, 01530 Vantaa, Finland T: +358 (0)207 866 333 cs.fi@smith-nephew.com 46814-ukinord 02/25. ©2025 Smith+Nephew. ◊Trademark of Smith+Nephew. All Trademarks acknowledged