+ Evidence in focus

Smith-Nephew

Why choose LEGION^o CONCELOC^o Cementless Total Knee System (TKS)?

CONCELOC Technology is designed for long-term fixation

- Pioneered through an innovative 3D-printing process that creates a fully randomised porous titanium structure with predictable porosity, pore size and node interconnectivity to promote biological in-growth^{1,2}
- New evidence shows stable fixation by one year,^{3,4}
 which is predictive of long-term stability⁵

Operating room efficiency

Compared to conventional surgery, CORI^o Surgical System with RI.KNEE for total knee arthroplasty, has been shown to result in:

Reduced number of trays⁶

Significantly better accuracy of knee alignment and component positioning⁷

LEGION TKS has a successful clinical history of more than 20 years⁸⁻¹¹

Developed using our trusted legacy in total knee arthroplasty and including an anatomically designed tibia and s-shaped trochlear grove

+ Evidence in focus

Smith Nephew

LEGION^o CONCELOC^o Cementless TKS demonstrates stable fixation, high survival rates, and significant improvement in patient outcomes at one-year follow-up^{3,4}



Products may not be available in all markets because product availability is subject to the regulatory and/or medical practices in individual markets. Please contact your Smith+Nephew representative or distributor if you have questions about the availability of Smith+Nephew products in your area. For detailed product information, including indications for use, contraindications, warnings and precautions, please consult the product's Instructions for Use (IFU) prior to use.

Abbreviations: FJS = Forgotten Joint Score; KOOS JR = Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score for Joint Replacement; MTPM = maximum total point motion; OKS = Oxford Knee Score; PROMS = patientreported outcome measures; TKS = total knee system.

References: 1. Fenwick S, et al. World Biomaterials Congress; May 28–June 1, 2008; Amsterdam, NL. 2. Smith+Nephew 2016. OR-16-009. 3. Laende EK, Gascoyne TC, Teeter MG, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster Presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster Presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster Presented at Orthopaedic Research Society 2024 Annual Meeting, February 2–6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 4. Prinos A, Cardillo c, Greenky S, et al. Poster Presented at Or February 2-6, 2024; Long Beach, CA, USA. 5. Laende EK, Richardson CG, Dunbar M.J. Predictive value of short-term migration in determining long-term stable fixation in cemented and cementless total knee arthroplasties. Bone Joint J. 2019;101-B(7 Supple C):55-60. 6. Smith+Nephew 2020. Tray Reduction Claim — References Consolidation. Internal Report. 7. Thiengwittayaporn S, Uthaitas P, Senwiruch C, Hongku N, Tunyasuwanakul R. Imageless robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty accurately restores the radiological alignment with a short learning curve: a randomized controlled trial. Int Orthop. 2021;45(11):2851–2858. 8. Victor J, Ghijselings S, Tajdar F, et al. Total knee arthroplasty at 15–17 years: does implant design affect outcome? Int Orthop. 2014;38(2):235–241 9. Australian Smith PN, et al. Hip, Knee and Shoulder Arthroplasty: 2023 Annual Report, Australian Orthopaedic Association National Joint Replacement Registry, AOA: Adelaide, South Australia. 2023. https://doi.org/10.25310/ YWQZ9375. 10. National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland. 20th Annual Report, 2023. Available at: https://reports.njrcentre.org.uk. Accessed June 10, 2023. 11. McCalden RW, Hart GP, MacDonald SJ, Naudie DD, Howard JH, Bourne RB. Clinical results and survivorship of the GENESIS II total knee arthroplasty at a minimum of 15 Years. J Arthroplasty. 2017;32(7):2161–2166. 12. Moriarty P, Vles G, Haddad F, Konan S. Early clinical and radiological outcomes of a new tapered fluted titanium monobloc revision stem in hip arthroplasty. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2021;141(6):1065–1071.