

+ Risk calculator

PICO[◇] sNPWT has shown to **significantly reduce the odds of developing a surgical site infection (SSI) by 64%^{1*}** and reducing the incidence of dehiscence,^{‡,3} whilst saving cost^{§,5} following breast surgery.

Back at home, not back in hospital

Following therapeutic mastectomies for breast cancer the PICO[◇] system has demonstrated:

- **63%** relative reduction in major seroma incidence^{†6}
- A reduction in the incidence of wound dehiscence by **75%** thereby reducing the potential for delay in the commencement of adjuvant therapy^{‡2}
- An estimated cost saving of **£426 per patient^{§4}**



Smith+Nephew

PICO[◇]

Single Use Negative Pressure
Wound Therapy System

Helping you get **CLOSER TO ZERO[◇]**
surgical site complications¹

smith-nephew.com/PICO

^{*}Compared to care with standard dressings; p=0.04; meta-analysis of 2 studies (OR: 0.36)

[†] Compared to care with standard dressings; n=24

[‡] Compared with standard dressings; n= 37 v n = 23; 16.2 vs 43.5%; p=0.02

[§] Compared to care with standard dressings

Is your patient at risk?

SSC rates following post mastectomy breast reconstruction can be high⁷

SSC ⁷	Seroma ⁷	Dehiscence ⁷	SSI ⁷	Explanation ⁷	Flap failure ⁷	Reoperation ⁷
15.9%	3.4%	6.1%	4.0%	3.7%	7.0%	6.4%

- Evidence suggests that a substantial proportion of women who develop an SSC following breast surgery have risk factors making them more susceptible to SSC following surgery^{7,9}
- Numerous SSC risk factors can be identified pre-operatively⁹
- The development of a post-operative SSC can delay adjuvant treatment^{9,10}

The Breast Reconstruction Risk Assessment (BRA) score is a surgical risk calculator, which calculates the estimated risk for postoperative complications in a patient who is undergoing mastectomy with immediate tissue expander or autologous reconstruction.⁷

Breast Reconstruction Risk Assessment (BRA) Score – Extended Length
<http://www.brascore.org/>



Advanced Wound Management
Smith & Nephew Medical Ltd
101 Hessle Road
Hull HU3 2BN, UK
T +44 (0) 1482 225181
F +44 (0) 1482 328326

www.smith-nephew.com

♦Trademark of Smith+Nephew
All Trademarks acknowledged
©September 2021 Smith+Nephew
32486 | GMC1433

References

1. Saunders C, Nherera LM, Horner A, Trueman P. Single-use negative-pressure wound therapy versus conventional dressings for closed surgical incisions: systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *BJS open*. 2021 Jan;5(1):zraa003. 2. Holt R, Murphy J. PICO™ incision closure in oncoplastic breast surgery: a case series. *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*. 2015;76(4). 3. Galiano RD, Hudson D, Shin J, Van Der Hulst R, Tanaydin V, Djohan R, Duteille F, Cockwill J, Megginson S, Huddleston E. Incisional negative pressure wound therapy for prevention of wound healing complications following reduction mammoplasty. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Global Open*. 2018 Jan;6(1). 4. Irwin GW, Boundouki G, Fakim B, et al. Negative Pressure Wound Therapy reduces wound breakdown and implant loss in prepectoral breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open*. 2020;8(e2667). 5. Tormey S, Mathers H, Sloan S, Styche T, Whittall C. Impact of single use Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT) on Surgical Incisions following Breast Surgery - A Multi-centre Evaluation. Paper presented at: European Wound Management Association (EWMA) Conference; 2019; Gothenburg, Sweden. 6. Ryu JY, Lee JH, Kim JS, Lee JS, Lee JW, Choi KY, Chung HY, Cho BC, Yang JD. Usefulness of incisional negative pressure wound therapy for decreasing wound complication rates and seroma formation following prepectoral breast reconstruction. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*. 2021 Jan 20:1-9. 7. Kim JY, Mlodinow AS, Khavanin N, Hume KM, Simmons CJ, Weiss MJ, Murphy Jr RX, Gutowski KA. Individualized risk of surgical complications: an application of the breast reconstruction risk assessment score. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Global Open*. 2015 May;3(5). 8. Tanner J, Khan D, Ball J, Aplin C, Pickard J, Bankart J. The rate, risk factors and cost of surgical site infections in primary breast surgery. *Journal of Infection Prevention*. 2011 Sep;12(5):204-9. 9. Vincent SM, Gallagher M, Johnston A, Djohan R, Varzgalis M, Sugrue M. The Keys to Optimising Breast Wounds: A Meta-Analysis. *Advances in Breast Cancer Research*. 2019 Jun 27;8(3):87-111. 10. McIntosh J, O'Donoghue JM. Therapeutic mammoplasty—a systematic review of the evidence. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology (EJSO)*. 2012 Mar 1;38(3):196-202.